

# Delegation meeting - Minutes

- **Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> April 2022
- **Time:** 11:00 – 12:30
- **Meeting held:** via Teams

**Attendees:** Cllr Henry Batchelor (HB), Cllr Peter Fane (PF), Philippa Kelly (PK), Alice Young (AY), Charlotte Spencer (CS), Michael Sexton (MS)

**Minutes approved by:** Cllr Batchelor (Vice Chair of Planning Committee - Consultee) and Philippa Kelly (The Strategic Sites Delivery Manager) on 07/04/22

## 22/00792/HFUL 10 Brackenbury Impington Cambridgeshire CB24 9YQ

### Reason for call-in request

Parish Objection - recommendation of refusal. Loss of amenity. Insufficient parking for 3 family cars according to HIM05 of the Neighbourhood Plan.

### Key considerations

This is an application for the extension of a residential property in Impington. There is no site history of relevance. The case officer introduced the application to the group and explained the comments which had been received from the Parish Council.

No issues of impact on neighbouring residential amenity are considered to arise from what is proposed. With regard to car parking, the number of bedrooms in the property will be unchanged as a result of the development, and as such it would not be reasonable to seek additional car parking. The proposal is compliant with Policy T113 of the Local Plan with regard to car parking and raises no conflict with Policy HIM05 of the Neighbourhood Plan.

The group considered that the relevant material planning considerations do not raise significant concern, nor do significant impacts for adopted policy (including the Histon and Impington Neighbourhood Plan) arise from the proposals. Given this, the modest nature and scale of the proposed development, and that no third-party representations have been received, the Strategic Sites Delivery Manager considered that the application does not warrant referral to the planning committee.

### Decision

Do not refer to Planning Committee

## **21/05624/REM** Plot 5000, Cambridge Research Park, Beach Drive, Landbeach

Reserved Matters application (Access from Beech Road, Appearance, Landscaping, Layout and Scale) for the erection of 8,400m<sup>2</sup> of mixed offices, research and development, light industrial, general industrial, storage and distribution, pursuant to outline planning consent S/4615/18/OL.

**Reason for Call-in Request:** The planning case officer confirmed that although no call-in request had been received (and no response received from the Parish Council), the original outline planning application had been determined by Planning Committee.

### **Key Considerations**

The case officer introduced the application and explained the planning history. This is a reserved matters application for 8,400 square metres of floorspace, which represents approximately one third of the floorspace which was granted outline planning permission.

Whilst further technical information is required to address statutory consultee comments received, material planning considerations are not considered to raise significant planning concerns. Furthermore, the application is not considered to raise significant implications for adopted policy.

The group acknowledged that this is a major planning application but is wholly within the parameters set at outline stage. Given the proposals do not raise significant planning concerns or planning policy issues, and that no third-party representations have been received, the Strategic Sites Delivery Manager considered that the application does not warrant referral to the planning committee.

**Decision:** Do not refer to Planning Committee.

## **22/00051/FUL** Lords Bridge, Barton Road, Haslingfield

Full planning application for the installation of a 22,975 megawatt hours (MWh) per annum solar farm and associated infrastructure on land to the east of Lords Bridge, Barton, for an operational lifespan of 40 years.

**Reason for Call-in Request:** Parish Objection – Does not consider the neighbours' needs or access; no glint and glare study; no consultation of neighbours.

### **Key Considerations**

The case officer introduced the application, which is for a 36 hectare solar farm, and explained the comments which had been received from the Parish Council. There is no site history of relevance.

Whilst noting that the Parish Council has not specifically called the application into committee, the proposals do raise significant policy issues. The application site is outside the development framework, within greenbelt and will result in the loss of high-grade agricultural land.

The group also considered that the relevant material planning considerations have the potential to raise significant concern. Given this, and that a number of third-party objections have been received, the Strategic Sites Delivery Manager considered that the application warrants referral to the planning committee, should the application be recommended for approval.

**Decision:** Refer to Planning Committee

