

Delegation meeting - Minutes

- **Date:** 16 February 2021
- **Time:** 11am to 12:30pm
- **Meeting held:** via Teams
- **Attendees:** Chris Carter (CC), Cllr John Batchelor (JB), Cllr Pippa Heylings (PH), Rebecca Claydon (RC)
- **Notes and actions:** Jemma Smith

Minutes approved by: Cllr John Batchelor (Chair of Planning Committee – Consultee) on 22 February 2021, Chris Carter (Delivery Manager – Strategic Sites) on 22 February 2021

20/02940/FUL – Land to the rear of 60 Station Road, Histon (RC)

Reason for call-in request

I have looked at the plans once again and confirm our original comments below for the last application still stand:

- Overdevelopment
- The impact of construction traffic on an unadopted road, of which current residents of Loves Close are responsible for the repair

Key considerations

The case officer introduced the application and explained the context of the local area.

It was noted that the Parish Council had made a material planning consideration with regard to overdevelopment but it was considered that, having regard to the scale of the proposed development, a single dwelling, and the characteristics of the surrounding area, this did not present significant planning concerns such that would warrant referral to the planning committee.

The comments with regard to the access from Loves Close were noted, however this is a private road and the use of this would be a civil matter between the applicant and the owners of the road.

There were no significant implications identified in relation to adopted policy, having regard to the site location within the settlement boundary.

There was nothing about the nature, scale or complexity of the proposal, or the planning history of the site, which would warrant committee consideration.

Decision

Delegated decision. See above.

20/04765/FUL – 33 Earith Road, Willingham (RC)

Reason for call-in request

Willingham Parish Council objects to the application as it is overdevelopment of the site and out of keeping with the street scene (it is in a line of bungalows)

Key considerations

The case officer introduced the application and explained the context of the local area.

It was noted that the Parish Council had made a material planning consideration with regard to overdevelopment but it was considered that, having regard to the scale of the proposed development, the fall back position of the extant planning permission for identical extensions, and the characteristics of the surrounding area, this did not present significant planning concerns such that would warrant referral to the planning committee.

There were no significant implications identified in relation to adopted policy, having regard to the site location within the settlement boundary.

There was nothing about the nature, scale or complexity of the proposal, or the planning history of the site, which would warrant committee consideration.

Decision

Delegated decision. See above.